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Introduction

- Many different skyline algorithms exist in the literature.
- Most of their evaluations are based on synthetic data.
- In this work, we present a case study of skyline computation on a representative data set of commercial data [1].
- Preferences in practice usually include pairs of differently correlated attributes, yet almost all experiments in the literature investigate only pure settings.

The algorithms inspected are:

- Block Nested Loop (entropy-based window management) [2]
- Divide & Conquer [3]
- Hexagon (lattice-based) [4]
- Scalagon (combination of Hexagon and BNL) [5]

Real Data (i.e., Commercial Data)

- Our data set contains data on 55208 cars [1].
- To each car, 23 attributes are assigned.
  - correlated (e.g., cylinders and engine size).
  - anti-correlated (e.g., mileage and registration date).
  - nearly independent (e.g., mileage and horsepower).
- Outliers counteract correlation effects.
- Cardinalities differ greatly, e.g.:
  - 5988 different values for attribute price.
  - only 17 different values for color.
  - only 8% of all cars are assigned a unique value for price.

Skylines

- Skyline contains the most interesting objects of a data set.
- Interestingness according to Pareto dominance paradigm.
- Guarantees absolute fairness among all preferences.

prefSQL Framework

- The preferenceSQL framework implemented in [1] allows for intuitive specification of a user’s preferences while providing a means to choose a specific algorithm.

Experiment Settings and Results

- Set of 17 preferences: numeric (e.g., "low price") and categorical (e.g., color "red » blue » all others")
- 100 random draws from this set, each draw containing a random number of between 3 and 7 preferences.
- 5.13 preferences per run on avg, results see table below.
- Realistic setting, since users will most probably choose a mixed set of numeric and categorical preferences.
- More than 50% of the runs could not be executed by the Hexagon algorithm (due to large preference cardinalities). Runtime results on Hexagon are therefore incomplete.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BNL</th>
<th>D&amp;C</th>
<th>Hexagon</th>
<th>Scalagon</th>
<th>Skyline</th>
<th>Min Conv</th>
<th>Max Conv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avg</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>2550</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>−0.40</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.81</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>35137</td>
<td>6060</td>
<td>2763</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>5451</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Runtime in milliseconds; avg, min and max runtime of each set of experiments, standard deviation and skyline size.
- Min and max Pearson correlation between any two attributes.

Conclusion

- The results of our measurements differ significantly from the results reported on synthetic data in the literature.
- BNL and D&C style algorithms outperformed lattice-based algorithms in all our experiments.
- In almost all cases, BNL turned out to be the best choice for a practical skyline algorithm.
- The D&C algorithm, that consistently showed only slightly worse runtime compared to BNL, has great potential for parallelization on modern microprocessor architectures.
- Hexagon can hardly be applied for skyline computation in e-commerce applications.
- We could observe a strong influence of outliers in the data set on the performance of skyline algorithms; in contrast to synthetic data, commercial catalogs will almost always contain strong outliers.
- When preference queries are to be computed in concrete commercial applications and on data sets, whose statistical properties have been analyzed, the rich skyline literature with all its investigations on synthetic data does not provide helpful indications on which skyline algorithm to apply.
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